Schwann Cell Proliferation and Macrophage Infiltration Are Evident at Day 14 after Painful Cervical Nerve Root Compression in the Rat
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Abstract

Although it is known that different types of nerve root insults can produce radicular pain, it is not known whether the neuronal and Schwann cell pathologies in the nerve root vary between inflammation-induced nerve root injury and traumatic compression. This study examined the extent of Wallerian degeneration and associated cellular repair processes in the nerve root in the context of mechanical hyperalgesia resulting from different modes of painful nerve root injury. The C7 dorsal nerve root underwent a transient 10 gram-force compression (10g), inflammation-induced irritation by chromic gut exposure (Cg), or a combination of those stimuli (10g+Cg). Fourteen days after injury when hyperalgesia remained, immunohistochemical analysis revealed upregulation of substance P, robust macrophage infiltration, myelin degeneration and debris removal, and a significant increase in the number of myelinating Schwann cells (Krox20-positive) in the compressed roots (10g, 10g+Cg). Cg alone also produced hyperalgesia, despite being associated with intact myelin. Unilateral exposure to chromic material induced bilateral increases in macrophages and Krox20-positive Schwann cells in the nerve roots, and substance P expression in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons. Results suggest that despite similar sensitivity, the extent of infiltrating macrophages and repopulated Schwann cells varies for pain from mechanical and/or chemical nerve root injury. Although these different cellular mechanisms may explain pain, they may also only reflect varying injury etiologies.
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Introduction

Radiculopathy can result from a variety of disorders of the nerve root that are often caused by trauma, disc herniation, spondylosis, and stenosis (Atlas et al., 2005; Frymoyer, 1988). Although patients diagnosed with radiculopathy can experience significant neuropathic pain, the underlying mechanisms still remain unclear. Nerve root compression induces a series of pathophysiological changes in animal models including behavioral hypersensitivity, glial activation, altered neuropeptide expression, upregulation of inflammatory cytokines, and axonal and myelin degeneration (Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2005, 2008; Kawakami et al., 1994a,b; Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007; Winkelstein and DeLeo, 2004). Wallerian degeneration and the associated cellular changes that result from neural injury are essential for the processes of axonal regeneration and remyelination during healing. Radicular pain can result not only from traumatic nerve root injury that involves its compression but also from mild irritation of the nerve root (Maves et al., 1993; Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007). For example, application of chromic gut material onto the root simulating the inflammatory nucleus pulposus that can come in contact with nerve roots is sufficient to induce behavioral sensitivity, altered cytokine expression, and glial activation (Kawakami et al., 1994a,b, 1998; Maves et al., 1993; Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein 2007). Together, these studies provided strong evidence that nerve root injury-induced pain is associated with multiple cellular and biochemical modifications. However, the relationships among injured axons, activated macrophages, myelin breakdown, and repopulated Schwann cells following different types of nerve root injuries that induce behavioral changes, have not been investigated.

Nerve root injury caused by either its transient or sustained compression results in robust immune responses such as macrophage recruitment to the injury site (Gupta and Channual, 2006; Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2008; Kobayashi...
et al., 2006; George et al., 2004; Høke et al., 2006; Shamash et al., 2010) can also contribute to nerve root injury-induced pain. Schwann cells are another glial subpopulation that participates in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain after nerve root injury; Schwann cells also phagocytose debris, dedifferentiate, proliferate, and initiate transcription machinery (e.g., Krox20, Oct6, Sox10) for myelination in response to injury (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Martini et al., 2008). Although Schwann cells are essential for axonal regeneration and remyelination (Høke et al., 2006; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005), they also produce factors such as pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-1β, and the trophic nerve growth factor (NGF); these factors all can influence nociceptive transmission during the process of neural repair (Campana et al., 2006; George et al., 2004; Høke et al., 2006; Shamash et al., 2002). In painful cervical radiculopathy, it remains unknown whether changes in Schwann cell function, including proliferation, dedifferentiation, and remyelination, are related to the pathogenesis of hyperalgesia.

The aim of the present study was to use existing rat models of painful cervical nerve root injury that induce mechanical hyperalgesia resulting from direct C7 nerve root compression and/or chromic gut suture irritation to examine the extent of neuronal and Schwann cell pathology at day 14. Here we focused on the neuropeptide, substance P, because it is essential for pain signaling between the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cells and the spinal cord dorsal horn (McLeod et al., 1999; Otsuka and Yanagisawa, 1990; Weissner et al., 2006) and there is limited direct comparison of its relative involvement in mechanical and/or inflammatory insults that produce pain. In peripheral nerve injury, recruitment of macrophages is required for myelin clearance and axonal regeneration (Brown et al., 1991; Perry et al., 1987) and their secreted inflammatory mediators are highly associated with the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain (Marchand et al., 2005). To examine whether nerve root injury and/or an inflammatory insult induces similar macrophage infiltration and its associated myelin degeneration at the site of injury in the central nerve root, double-immunostaining was performed to label macrophages (using Iba1) and myelin (myelin basic protein) at 14 days after injury when Wallerian degeneration remains evident (Avellino et al., 1995; Gupta and Channaul, 2006). Lastly, we examined whether remyelination and/or the myelin repair mechanism is initiated by 14 days post-injury by characterizing expression of Krox20, a transcription factor that is essential for Schwann cell remyelination (Ghislain and Charnay, 2006; Ghislain et al., 2002; Topilko et al., 1994), using immunostaining. We further probed for nestin and BrdU to determine whether Krox20-positive cells exhibited neural stem cell identity and/or the regeneration capacity.

Methods

Animal groups

All experimental procedures were performed using male Holtzman rats housed under United States Department of Agriculture and Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) approved conditions, with free access to food and water and a 12-h light/dark cycle. Experiments were performed in accordance with National Research Council’s guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania. Rats, weighing 300–350 g at the time of surgery, underwent surgical procedures for nerve root compression injury using a 10 gram-force microvascular clip (10g; n = 8) or using the same clip but accompanied with chronic gut exposure to the root as well (10g + Cg; n = 8). Additional groups were included for surgical controls (sham; n = 7) and to control for exposure to the chronic gut only (Cg; n = 4). Behavioral testing was performed for 14 days following surgery to verify mechanical hyperalgesia patterns in each group and to assess hyperalgesia on the day of tissue harvest.

Surgical procedures

Surgical procedures have been described in detail previously (Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2008; Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007). Briefly, under inhalation isoflurane anesthesia (4% for induction, 2% for maintenance), the C7 dorsal root was exposed by a C6/C7 hemilaminectomy and partial facetectomy on the right side. Compression of the C7 right nerve root was performed by applying a 10 gram-force microvascular clip (World Precision Instruments, Inc; Sarasota, FL) for 15 min (Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2008; Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007). For the compression injury with chronic gut exposure (10g + Cg) 4 pieces of 3-0 chromic gut suture (Surgical Specialties; Reading, PA) were placed on nerve root after compression, as previously described (Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007). Two additional procedural groups were included to serve as controls: a sham group in which the nerve root was exposed only and a separate group in which the nerve root received chronic exposure only (Cg), respectively (Rothman et al., 2009b; Rothman and Winkelstein, 2007). Following surgery, all wounds were closed using 3-0 polyester suture and surgical staples. Rats were recovered in room air and monitored continuously.

Behavioral assessment

Behavioral sensitivity was assessed by measuring forepaw mechanical hyperalgesia at postoperative days 1, 3, 5, 7, and 14. Each rat was evaluated for 3 days prior to surgery to provide baseline unoperated responses. A modified “up-down” method developed by Chaplan was used to determine the mechanical threshold for response to stimulation (Chaplan et al., 1994; Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2005; Weissnaar et al., 2010). For each test session, rats were acclimated to the test chamber for 20 min prior to behavioral tests. Response thresholds were measured for each of the right (ipsilateral) and left (contralateral) forepaws by stimulating each forepaw with increasing Von Frey filament strengths (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL), ranging from 0.6 g to 26.0 g. The lowest-strength filament needed to provoke any response to five stimulations was recorded as the response threshold if the next larger filament strength also elicited a positive response. Each testing session consisted of three rounds of stimulation with the filaments of increasing strength applied to each forepaw, with at least 10 min between each round to allow for an adequate rest period. The thresholds determined by each round were
separately averaged for each forepaw to obtain a single value for that forepaw for each rat on each test day.

Immunohistochemistry and analysis

The spinal cord, dorsal root, and DRG on each side at C7 were harvested en bloc at day 14 to evaluate tissue damage, local degeneration, and associated inflammatory responses in the nerve root and DRG. Rats were anesthetized and intracardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Tissue samples were postfixed, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, and embedded in OCT medium (Triangle Biomedical Sciences; Durham, NC) for cryosectioning. Six serial sections (12 μm) were collected from the midpoint of the long axis for each nerve root onto Superfrost plus slides. Sections were blocked in 10% normal donkey serum with 0.3% Triton-X100 and incubated overnight in antibodies (guinea pig polyclonal substance P [1:500; Neuromics; Edina, MN], mouse monoclonal myelin basic protein MBP [SM94 and SM99 at 1:1000; Covance; Princeton, NJ] + rabbit polyclonal Iba1 [1:1000; Wako; Richmond, VA] or + rabbit polyclonal neurofilament [NF at 1:200; Sigma; St. Louis, MO], rabbit polyclonal Krox20 [1:100; Covance; Princeton, NJ] + mouse monoclonal nestin [1:200; Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA] or + mouse monoclonal BrdU [1:1000; Sigma; St. Louis, MO]). Sections were washed with PBS and incubated in secondary antibodies (donkey anti-guinea pig Cy2, donkey anti-mouse Alexa 568 + donkey anti-rabbit Alexa 488). After washing, sections were mounted with anti-fade gel/mount.

All sections were imaged and analyzed using a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope. Expression of substance P and Iba1 was quantitated by inverting images into black (substance P) and white in the NIH Image J program to measure the percent of positive pixels in the nerve root. Substance P intensity (threshold scale in a 12-bit scale from 0-4098) in the DRG was measured using the histogram function of a Zeiss LSM 510 program. Krox20-positive cells were counted by a customized program developed in MATLAB software.

For the BrdU study, in a subset of rats from each group, BrdU was administered via intraperitoneal injection at a dose of 50 mg/kg (Sigma; St. Louis, MO) 2 h prior to perfusion and tissue harvest at day 14: sham (n = 4), 10g (n = 5), 10g + Cg (n = 5), or Cg (n = 4) (Rothman et al., 2009a). As with the other histological analysis for this study, six sections were acquired from the center of the root for each of these rats. Sections used for double-immunostaining of Krox20 and BrdU were denatured in 2N HCl for 60 min at 37°C, and neutralized in 10 mM sodium borate prior to the other customary staining procedures (Rothman et al., 2009a).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using Statview (version 5.0.1, SAS; Cary, NC) with α = 0.05. Thresholds for mechanical hyperalgesia were compared using a two-way ANOVA with repeated measures to determine changes by injury group over time, followed by one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni/Dunn post-hoc correction for paired comparison among groups at each time point. For comparisons of the immunostaining outcomes (substance P, Iba1, Krox20) among nerve root injury groups, a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni/Dunn post-hoc correction was used, with separate analyses for each of the ipsilateral and contralateral responses.

Results

Experimental nerve root injury induced immediate and sustained tactile sensitivity in the ipsilateral forepaw for all groups for up to 14 days after injury (Fig. 1). Both injuries with compression components (10g, 10g + Cg) exhibited similar thresholds for eliciting a response, which was significantly lower (p < 0.004) than sham (Fig. 1). However, on day 7 the 10g + Cg group had a significantly lower (p < 0.05) threshold than the group with 10g compression alone or with chromic exposure (Cg) alone. Interestingly, placing chromic gut alone (Cg) on the C7 nerve root was sufficient to cause chemically induced hyperalgesia in the ipsilateral forepaw on all days (Fig. 1). In contrast, although there was a reduced threshold for all groups on the contralateral paw, these differences were not significant compared to sham, except on day 7 when the 10g + Cg group exhibited a significantly lower threshold (p < 0.05) than both sham controls and the 10g compression group (Fig. 1). Although by 14 days post-injury the compression groups (Cg, 10g + Cg) also displayed contralateral mechanical hyperalgesia, the reduction in the threshold did not reach statistical significance.

![Fig. 1.](image-url) Time course of response to mechanical stimulus applied to the ipsilateral and contralateral forepaws following nerve root injury. The threshold for response in the ipsilateral forepaw was significantly reduced compared to sham for all groups of nerve root injury: chronic gut exposure (Cg), compression (10g), and compression with chronic gut exposure (10g + Cg). Similarly, the threshold for response in the contralateral side was also decreased, with the greatest decrease in the 10g + Cg group. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM; *p < 0.004 vs. sham, † p < 0.05 vs. 10g and ‡ p < 0.05 vs. Cg.
Immunostaining revealed that substance P was significantly upregulated in the ipsilateral nerve root after its compression, and that the additional application of chromic to the nerve root further enhanced substance P expression (Fig. 2). There was a significant 8.5-fold increase in the percentage of substance P positive area in the ipsilateral nerve root over sham (0.35 ± 0.10%) for the 10g group (2.99 ± 0.79%, p = 0.0085) and a 14.5-fold increase for the 10g + Cg group (5.09 ± 0.66%, p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2B). Further, the combined injury (10g + Cg) also induced significantly more substance P expression than both the compression alone (10g, p = 0.002) and the chromic exposure (Cg, 1.51 ± 0.42%, p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2B). Intense substance P expression was observed in small-diameter neurons in the ipsilateral DRG of all groups (Cg, 10g, 10g + Cg) and in small-diameter neurons in the contralateral DRG of only the groups with chromic exposure (Cg, 10g + Cg) (Fig. 2). As measured by pixel intensity (scale 0-4098 via LSM 510 confocal microscopy), the intensity of substance P expression in the DRG significantly increased 1.6 times in the 10g group (3102 ± 201, p = 0.0022), 1.82 times in the 10g + Cg group (3448 ± 189, p = 0.0002), and 1.6 times in the Cg group (3038 ± 167, p = 0.008), as compared to sham responses (1896 ± 141).

On the side (left) contralateral to the injury, substance P expression was less robust but still exhibited a response in the injuries in which the right nerve root had been exposed to chromic material. For example, substance P intensity in the contralateral DRG increased 1.5 times in the Cg group (2815 ± 297, p = 0.0067) and 1.5 times in the 10g + Cg group (2978 ± 142, p = 0.0008) as compared to sham control (1945 ± 65) (Fig. 2C).

**FIG. 2.** Substance P expression in the nerve root and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) following nerve root injury. (A) Immunostaining of substance P (SubP) revealed increased expression in the ipsilateral nerve roots and DRG (insets) at day 14 after chromic gut exposure (Cg), compression (10g), and compression with chromic gut exposure (10g + Cg). Only slight and variable changes were evident in the contralateral roots and DRG. Scale bars are 50 μm and apply to all panels/insets. (B) Quantification of SubP in the nerve root demonstrated significant increases in expression in the ipsilateral roots between root injuries. (C) SubP expression intensity was significantly increased in ipsilateral and contralateral DRG neurons of groups with chromic exposure (Cg, 10g + Cg), and demonstrated a graded response in the ipsilateral DRG to injury. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM; *p < 0.009 vs. sham, “p < 0.003 vs. 10g, ”p < 0.0001 vs. Cg.
Although macrophage infiltration was observed after all nerve root injuries except sham, a considerable amount of macrophages was recruited to the nerve roots after the application of compression (10g, 10g + Cg) (Fig. 3). The increase in Iba1-positive area in the ipsilateral nerve root was significant (p < 0.05) for all groups compared to sham (Fig. 3B). In addition, both compression groups exhibited significantly (p < 0.0001) more Iba1 staining than the Cg group (Fig. 3B). In those injury groups, macrophages exhibited a phagocytic morphology that engulfed damaged myelin and/or myelin debris (Fig. 3C). Application of chromic gut material alone did lead to macrophage recruitment in the contralateral nerve root (Fig. 3B), similar to its effect on substance P expression (Fig. 2). However, macrophages in the Cg group remained in their resting morphology and did not become phagocytic. MBP immunostaining revealed that the myelin sheath appeared to be intact in sham and Cg exposed nerve roots, whereas myelin degeneration was evident in the roots of the 10g and 10g + Cg injury groups that included a compressive insult (Fig. 3, middle column); myelin debris and/or fragments were found consistently in the nerve root of those groups. In addition to myelin degeneration, double-immunostaining with antibodies against neurofilament (NF) and MBP to label axons and myelin revealed that NF-positive axons became noticeably thin and developed retraction bulbs in the nerve roots of groups with root compression (10g, 10g + Cg) (Fig. 4). However, the NF-positive axons remained intact in sham and Cg groups (Fig. 4).

FIG. 3. Macrophage infiltration and myelin degeneration following nerve root injury. (A) Iba1 immunostaining of macrophages demonstrated infiltration after all types of nerve root injuries, with more robust responses following a compression injury (10g, 10g + Cg). Myelin basic protein (MBP by SMI94, SMI99) immunostaining labeling myelin exhibited degeneration in both compression injury groups (10g, 10g + Cg). (B) Quantification of Iba1 expression shows significant increases over sham and Cg after compression in the ipsilateral root. Macrophage infiltration was also evident in the contralateral nerve roots of the groups with chronic gut exposure (Cg, 10g + Cg). (C) Higher magnification of merged image showing macrophages engulfing the myelin debris. Scale bar is 50 µm in A and 10 µm in C. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 vs. sham, †p = 0.028 vs. 10g, ‡p < 0.0001 vs. Cg.
FIG. 4. Myelin degeneration is accompanied with axonal degeneration in the nerve root following compression injury. Neurofilament (NF) and myelin basic protein (MBP; by SMI94, SMI99) double immunostaining revealed damaged axons and myelin at day 14 in the groups with compression injury (10g, 10g + Cg) of the nerve root. Axon and myelin remained intact in the nerve roots after sham and Cg exposure. Scale bar is 50 μm and applies to all panels.

All of the nerve root injuries were sufficient to induce Krox20 expression in the affected nerve root at day 14 (Fig. 5). However, only the injuries with a compression component induced a significant increase in Krox20 expression over sham (Fig. 5B). The density of Krox20-positive cells in the 10g group (239 ± 54 cells/450 μm², p = 0.0051) and the 10g + Cg group (303 ± 48 cells/450 μm², p = 0.003) was significantly greater than that of sham controls (54 ± 9 cells/450 μm²). The combined compression and chromic exposure group (10g + Cg) also exhibited significantly more Krox20-positive cells than did the Cg group (Fig. 5B). Krox20 expression was also increased in the contralateral root for those injury groups with chromic gut exposure (Cg, 10g + Cg), with the number of Krox20-positive cells in the Cg group (76 ± 11 cells/450 μm², p = 0.016) being greater than sham (49 ± 6 cells/450 μm²) and the 10g group (46 ± 3 cells/450 μm²). The number of Krox20-positive cells in the 10g + Cg group (92 ± 8 cells/450 μm², p < 0.001) was also significantly greater than both sham and 10g (Fig. 5B). Krox20-positive cells also expressed the antibody against neural stem cell cytoskeleton protein, nestin, and displayed a bipolar shaped morphology (Fig. 5C1). The majority of Krox20-positive cells were also positive for BrdU (Fig. 5C2).

Discussion

Although the underlying mechanisms by which nerve root trauma can result in neuropathic pain are not fully understood, this study begins to characterize the integrated inflammatory and myelin degeneration responses at a time point when behavioral sensitivity persists after different types of painful nerve root trauma (Rothman et al., 2007). Compressive trauma to the nerve root induced axon and myelin destruction, together with macrophage infiltration, myelin degeneration, and proliferation of Schwann cells (Figs. 2–4). Using confocal microscopy, we show that activated macrophages exhibiting phagocytic morphology engulf myelin debris following a compressive injury (10g) and also a combined compressive and inflammatory injury (10g + Cg) (Fig. 3). Although chemical irritation by chromic material alone (Cg) was sufficient to lead to macrophage infiltration in the nerve root, those cells displayed a resting morphology (Fig. 3). We also demonstrate that Krox20, a key transcription factor required for Schwann cells myelination (Ghislain and Charnay, 2006; Ghislain et al., 2002; Topilko et al., 1994), is significantly increased in both the ipsilateral and contralateral nerve roots following a unilateral nerve root injury by chemical irritation alone (Cg) or by chemical irritation combined with compression (10g + Cg), and that Krox20-positive cells exhibit markers for stem cells and BrdU (Fig. 5), suggesting their pro-myelinating activity in response to nerve root injury.

During normal nociceptive signaling, substance P is produced in small-diameter DRG neurons and transmitted to dorsal horn neurons through unmyelinated and thinly myelinated sensory axons in the nerve root (Willis and Coggeshall, 2004). Mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia are induced by intrathecal delivery of substance P, and in transgenic mice over-expressing substance P, which can be reversed by antagonizing substance P with its receptor neurokinin 1 (NK 1) and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) (McLeod et al., 1999; Yashpal et al., 1982, 1995). In the current study, we demonstrate that transient nerve root compression, chromic gut stimulation, and their combination, induce significant increases in substance P expression in the nerve root at day 14 (Fig. 2), suggesting altered nociceptive transmission. Increased substance P was also evident in the C7 DRG (Fig. 2 insets). It should also be noted that unilateral application of chromic gut material alone (Cg) is sufficient to induce bilateral upregulation of substance P in the DRG, suggesting a potential diffusion effect of the inflammatory material of the chromic gut sutures and/or central sensitization. However, nerve root injury has also been reported to reduce substance P when injury-induced behavioral hypersensitivity was produced (Hubbard et al., 2008a; Kobayashi et al., 2004a, 2005; Noguchi et al., 1995; Rothman et al., 2005; Xu et al., 1996). For example, we have observed decreased substance P in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and DRG at 7 days following nerve root compression dependent on the presence of mechanical allodynia (Hubbard et al., 2008a, b) and also for the same compression injury used in the current study (Rothman et al., 2005). Those decreases were observed in the spinal dorsal horn where these injured afferents synapse, and may be caused by a reduced transport to the spinal cord. The
discrepancy of substance P expression also reflects differences in the injury models and the time points examined. For example, substance P mRNA and protein levels have been reported to exhibit temporal variations in other models of pain and injury (Lee and Winkelstein, 2009). Moreover, although the immunohistochemical analysis techniques used in this study enable quantitative assessment, this and the other cellular responses could be strengthened by ELISA and/or Western blot. Nonetheless, all of these studies, including the present one, suggest that substance P has an important function in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain following mechanical and/or chemical irritation to the nerve root.

It has been well documented that neural injuries result in rapid and prolonged immune responses, including immune cell infiltration, expression of inflammatory mediators and trophic factors, and induction of signaling transduction pathways, all of which contribute to the neuropathy and pain (Marchand et al., 2005; Thacker et al., 2007; White et al., 2009). We have previously shown that macrophages are recruited to the site of injury at day 7 following different compression loads (0-110mN) to C7 nerve root (Hubbard and Winkelstein, 2008). In the present study, we directly compared macrophage responses using the same compression load (10g) with and without chromic gut exposure, and chromic gut irritation alone. Although all injury conditions induced infiltration at day 14, the most robust macrophage response was evident in the conditions with compression (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the macrophages in those two mechanical compression (10g, 10g + Cg) conditions displayed phagocytic morphology, whereas those in the chemical stimulation only (Cg) condition displayed a resting morphology in the nerve root (Fig. 3A).

FIG. 5. Krox20 expression in the nerve root following injury. (A) Krox20 immunostaining revealed a robust increase in the ipsilateral nerve roots following injury (Cg, 10g, 10g + Cg) and in the contralateral nerve roots only after chromic exposure (Cg, 10g + Cg). (B) Krox20 positive cell counts quantifying relative expression in the ipsilateral and contralateral nerve roots. (C1, C2) Double immunostaining showed that Krox20-positive cells express the neural stem cell marker, nestin, and are also positive for the proliferation marker BrdU. Scale bars in A and C2 are 50 μm. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM with *p < 0.02 vs. sham, #p < 0.007 vs. 10g, and +p = 0.045 vs. Cg.
immunostaining evident in both the ipsilateral and contralateral nerve roots at day 14 (Fig. 3B). These responses suggest that the inflammatory chromic gut sutures may have had widespread effects and/or induced central sensitization in the spinal cord and brain (Hunt et al., 2001; You et al., 2010). Mechanical allodynia was greatly reduced following nerve root injury in mutant Wallerian degeneration (Wld^+) mice and null mice of chemokine receptor chemotactic cytokine receptor 2 (CCR2-/-), both of which display attenuated macrophage recruitment in response to injury (Abbadie et al., 2003; Sommer and Schafers, 1998). The association of macrophages with the pathogenesis of pain has also been demonstrated by a reduction in thermal hyperalgesia when circulating macrophages were depleted by clodronate administration (Liu et al., 2000). These studies, together with the current data indicating macrophage recruitment in conditions with behavioral sensitivity, support the possibility of macrophages at the injury site as mediating nerve root injury-induced pain. Accordingly, the presence of macrophages in both the resting and phagocytic forms (Fig. 2) suggests a pool of pro-inflammatory mediators that may potentiate nociception. Although the myelin sheath appears to remain intact in the condition of chronic gut alone (Cg) (Fig. 3A) despite the presence of mechanical hyperalgesia, this behavioral response may be the result of other mediators secreted from the infiltrated macrophages.

Mechanical compression of the nerve root induced significant damage to myelin sheath (Figs. 3 and 4). Removal of myelin debris becomes essential to enable any future axonal regeneration and/or remyelination; using confocal microscopy, it is evident that most, if not all, myelin debris was completely engulfed by phagocytic macrophages by 14 days after compression injuries (Fig. 3C). Although axons appeared to be abundant and sprouting in the nerve root, they were thin and many of them developed retraction bulbs (Fig. 4) which suggest axonal dieback (Kerschensteiner et al., 2005). Compared to the intact NF-positive axons in the sham group, the abnormal morphology of the NF-positive axons in the compression groups (10g, 10g + Cg) provides an additional indicator of altered nociception between the DRG and the spinal cord dorsal horn (Kobayashi et al., 2004b).

Despite the fact that demyelination was not evident in this study, we did observe significantly increased numbers of Krox20-positive cells in the nerve root after injury, which paralleled the behavioral sensitivity observed at that same time point (Figs. 1 and 5). Krox20 is an essential transcription factor expressed in myelinating lineage Schwann cells (Ghislain and Charnay 2006; Reiprich et al., 2010; Topilko et al., 1994). Mutation of Krox20 blocks Schwann cell differentiation and maturation, and myelination in the nervous system fails to occur as a result (Murphy et al., 1996; Toliko et al., 1994). Our finding of a robust increase in Krox20-positive cells after mechanical and/or chemical irritation to the nerve root suggests Schwann cells that are newly produced or preexist may have been differentiated or dedifferentiated in to a pro-myelinating state in preparation for remyelination when needed (Ghislain and Charnay 2006; Ghisalín et al., 2002; Topilko et al., 1994).

Schwann cells have crucial functions in providing physical and trophic support for axons. Upon axonal injury, Schwann cells execute both destructive and constructive functions, such as removal of tissue debris, proliferation, migration, release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF-α, IL-1) and trophic factors (e.g. NGF, TGF-β) in the process of Wallerian degeneration and regeneration (Bergsteinsdottir et al., 1991; Cappa et al., 2006; Feng and Ko, 2008; Lindholm et al., 1987; Matsuoka et al., 1991; Shamash et al., 2002). The time course of these events is often accompanied by injury-induced behavioral sensitivity, which further implicates their potential role in pain. TNF-α and NGF are known to enhance nociception via increasing excitability of sensory neurons (Lindsay and Harmar, 1989; Sorkin et al., 1997; Urschel et al., 1991). As a source of these mediators, Schwann cells may directly or indirectly contribute to augmented nociception following nerve root injury. In the context of the literature, it is possible that the mechanical hyperalgesia observed in the present study (Fig. 1) may be caused in part by the increase in the number of myelinating Schwann cells (Krox20-positive) that produce any or all of the above-described mediators. It is also important to note that similar behavioral outcomes were observed for the different types of nerve root insults, which may also indicate that these cellular responses at the root may reflect the type of insult (inflammation alone vs. trauma). However, this study evaluated the cellular responses at the nerve root only at a single time point; therefore, it is not possible to infer temporal mechanisms or to correlate these responses with any changes in behavior or other aspects of the neuroinflammatory cascade. Additional studies are needed at other time points to fully define the relationship between Schwann cell proliferation and macrophage infiltration and activation in these models. Taken together, our demonstration of robust macrophage infiltration, myelin degeneration, and increased myelinating Schwann cells after painful nerve root compression injury suggests that the mechanical insult is associated with the adaptive processes of the injured axons, including demyelination and/or myelin removal by macrophages and repopulated Schwann cells. These findings suggest that whereas behavioral outcomes may be similar, the extent of the neuronal and Schwann cell responses may vary for different radicular pathologies and may require different therapeutic approaches to treat nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain.
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